

## TERMS OF REFERENCE

Title:	Land Tenure Specialist – Project Evaluator
Project:	Livelihoods and Food Security Trust Fund (LIFT)
Duty station:	Home based with mission travel to Myanmar, or Myanmar based
Post Level:	IICA-3 (International Individual Contract Agreement)
Section/Unit:	LIFT
Duration:	34 days
Supervisor:	Livelihoods and Food Security Specialist

### I. Annexes

#### ***Annex 1: MTR Detailed Questions***

This section provides more detail on additional topics and issues that are particularly important to LIFT and its partners.

Address the LIFT-level evaluation questions concerning the project's overall approach and its components where appropriate:

1. Relevance:
  - How relevant are the project's overall purpose and objectives to the needs of Myanmar?
  - How relevant are the project's overall approach and components to the Myanmar socio-political context and for achieving the project's objectives?
2. Effectiveness:
  - To what extent has the project achieved its expected outputs and outcomes on the basis of the project log frame and/or theory of change?
  - To what extent has the project contributed to the achievement of the LIFT log frame, particularly to improving pro-poor policy.
  - What have been the positive and negative unintended and unexpected consequences of the project?
3. Efficiency:
  - To what extent has the project been implemented on budget and on time?
  - To what extent is the project delivering or poised to deliver value for money, as defined by costs versus major benefits
4. Sustainability:
  - To what extent has the project established a viable model for the provision of services and products? In particular in regards to policy networking and capacity building of key stakeholders.
5. Gender equality
  - To what extent has the project considered gendered differences in the needs, constraints and opportunities of beneficiaries as well as in regards to research and policy objectives?
  - To what extent have women participated equally in the project?
  - To what extent have men and women benefitted equally from the project?

Address specific technical questions of each project component, focusing on (some of these questions may overlap with the questions listed above):

6. LCG institutional capacity:
  - How have the Land Core Group institutional, organizational and networking capacities evolved during the project implementation?

7. Strategies for policy influencing and advocacy
  - To what extent have the Land Core Group and GRET developed relevant and effective policy advocacy strategies?
  - To what extent and how have the projects *contributed* to policy change?
  - Are the independent ground assessments by LCG and GRET responding to a clear demand from the Ayeyarwaddy regional government and likely to be useful for reviewing its approach to land re-allocation?
8. Research quality and methodologies
  - To what extent have the projects generated robust and useful knowledge and evidence regarding land tenure practices and issues in Myanmar?
  - To what extent have the projects established quality assurance processes to ensure that the research results are reliable and recognized?
  - To what extent have the projects contributed to research capacity building in Myanmar in particular in social sciences?
9. Effectiveness of knowledge dissemination strategies
  - To what extent have the projects been able to disseminate the knowledge produced?
  - Are the relevant stakeholders and policy makers aware of and using these results?
  - To what extent is the LCG recognized as a key source of information about the land sector in Myanmar?
10. Effectiveness of the training modules and capacity building
  - To what extent have LCG trainings been useful to improve awareness of small landholders and their capacity to increase land tenure security?